

THE REVIEW OF THE ARTICLE FOR THE PERIODICAL JOURNAL “TSU PSYCHOLOGY”

Year/Month/Date

Author(s) of the article: _____

Title of the article: _____

Date of submission of the article: _____

I. Evaluation Criteria

	Evaluation Criteria	1	2	3	4	5
1	Appropriateness for this Journal					
2	Significance and Timely Topic					
3	Adequacy of Literature Review/Theoretical Grounding					
4	Logic and Argumentation					
5	Proper Interpretations and Clarity of the text					
6	Proper Conclusions					
7	Contribution to the Field					
8	Organization / Structure					
9	Writing and Citing Style					

II. Reviewer Comments

	Comments
1. Does this article provide compelling foundations and literature review? (Please include any observations and recommendations as to how the author(s) can improve this specific topic)	
2. Are there any topics or information related to the discussion which appears to be missing or seem poorly developed? (Please list them and suggest what topic(s) or information the author(s) should include or elaborate to enrich the article.)	
3. Is the article well written (text structure and proper English), well organized and logical, and is it simple and accessible for the reader? (Please comment on the clarity of this text.)	
4. Is the Interpretation logical? (Please include any observations and recommendations as to how the author(s) can improve the interpretation)	
5. Is the presented findings and conclusions properly developed in the discussion? (Please comment on the scientific discussion of the article)	
6. Is the title, abstract and conclusion appropriate? (Please opine on the effectiveness of the organization and flow of the chapter.)	

III. Comments to the Author(s)

Please provide your constructive comments to the author(s) for improving and revising the article.

- Please _____
 - Please _____
 - Please _____

IV. Comments to the Editor

Please provide comments or suggestions to the editor regarding your decision concerning this article. Please be specific as to whether or not you think this article is publishable. (Note that these comments will NOT be shared with the authors).

V. Reviewer Decisions

Please place an indicative check mark in the options that suit your final decision.

Accept (Publishable Now)	
Accept (Minor Revisions Needed)	
Reject (Major Revisions Needed)	
Reject (The article is not scientific)	
The article is not recommended to be published in “TSU Psychology”	

(Reviewer's name, last name, signature)

(Academic degree)

(Institution of employment)

(Position)

(Tel./fax.)

(Email)

*information on the reviewer is confidential and shall not be disclosed to the author of the reviewed article.

**the completed form should be submitted to the Journal Editor Prof. Lali Surmanidze by email:
tsu.psychology@tsu.ge